

Map above was scanned from the **Soil and Water Conservation Maps** of Brown County, and annotated with information from the **surveyor's data** on the realtor's documentation, which drawings and elevations were in turn taken from the **BrownCounty Recorder**'s data for **Indian Woods**.

Note that our lot#12 (as well as lot#11) is in the **heavily woodsy** area and is **quite level** once you are beyond the road right-of-way granted to SCP and likely BCRWA. Also, as noted on the map, there are basically soils of the **Clermont Clay** type and **Avonburg** type, which we have used in customizing this experimental design.

The next table is an annotated version of the **Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils** pages for the Avonburg and Clermont soils in the Soil and Water Conservation book.

For the distribution area we chose the Avonburg area of the lot, on the edge of the front woodsy area bordering the more private side of the front house clearing. Since the depths of the observation pond and the stonecreek cells for returning the water to the ground are relatively shallow, we have highlighted the Avonburg data for the 0-8in and 8-29in levels.

**Permeability** there is relatively moderate in those levels and **pH** is slightly acid for most of the depths.

Brown County, Oh	1		1cc	. 112 pcf							
		TAI	3LE 17P	HYSICAL AND C	HEMICAL PR	OPERTIES	OF THE SOILS				
The symbol < mea profile. En Absence of a	tries	under '	'Wind erod:	ibility group	" and "Org.	anic matte	on factorsT" er" apply only not estimated)	to th	to e si	the en	tire laye
Soil name and	  Depth	Clay	Moist	  Permeability	  Available	   Soil	  Shrink-swell		Wind  erodi-	l	
map symbol	!	!	bulk			reaction	potential			bility	l ma
	l In	l Pat	density	T= /h=	capacity			K	Т	group	
	1111	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	рН					
3g			1.20-1.45		0.16-0.20	6.1-7.3	Low	0.37	5	1 6	
			1.25-1.65		10.16-0.20		Low			1	1
	49-60	0-5	1.60-1.70	2.0-6.0	10.02-0.04	16.1-8.4	Low	0.10		1	1
At C2					0.21-0.23		High		3	7	١.
Atlas			1.50-1.70		10.09-0.13		High				!
	30-00	20-30	1.33-1.80	0.06-0.2	0.12-0.15	   0.1-1.8	Moderate	0.32			l l
EvA-	0-8	10-18	1.30-1.45				Low		4	5	١.
Avonburg			1.35-1.50		0.18-0.20	4.5-5.5	Moderate	0.431			1
istribution Area]			1.50-1.70		10.06-0.08		Moderate				1
	I	T			1	1				i	i
WB2*: Avonburg	0-6	110-19	1.30-1.45	0.6-2.0	10 20-0 24	14 5-7 3	Low	10 43			1
1.5	6-31	22-30	1.35-1.50	0.6-2.0	0.20-0.24		Moderate		4	I 5	
			1.50-1.70	100,000,000	0.06-0.10		Moderate			i	i
Atlas	0-6	130-40	1.40-1.60	0.06-0.2	1 4.5 7.3	14 5-7 3	  High	0 431	2	l l 7	
	6-58	35-45	1.50-1.70	<0.06	10.09-0.13		High		3	,	١.
			1.55-1.80		0.12-0.15		Moderate			I	l
lc	0-8	115-30	1.30-1.55	0.6-2.0	10-19-0-24	14 5-6 5	Low	0 371	0	l I 6	
Blanchester	8-40	25-35	1.45-1.65	0.06-0.2			Moderate		4		ĺ
			1.45-1.70	<0.2	10.13-0.20	14.5-7.3	High	0.371		l	i
	80-90	32-48	1.50-1.80	<0.2	0.07-0.18	6.6-8.4	High	0.37			[
loD2	0-6	15-25	1.30-1.45	0.6-2.0	0.22-0.24	14.5-7.3	Low	0.43	3	5	1
Bonnell	6-30	140-60	1.50-1.70	0.06-0.2	10.09-0.13	14.5-6.0	High	0.321	-		ĺ
	30-60	25-40	1.45-1.60	0.2-0.6	0.08-0.15	6.1-8.4	Moderate	0.32		l	1
DE, BoF	0-8	15-25	1.30-1.45	0.6-2.0	0.22-0.24	4.5-7.3	Low	0.431	3	1 5	1
Bonnell	8-30	40-60	1.50-1.70	0.06-0.2	0.09-0.13	4.5-6.0	High	0.321			i
	30-60	25-40	1.45-1.60	0.2-0.6	0.08-0.15	6.1-8.4	Moderate	0.321			1
rD3	0-5	27-32	1.30-1.50	0.2-0.6	0.21-0.23	14.5-7.3	Moderate	0.431	3	7	   .
Bonnell	5-27	40-60	1.50-1.70	0.06-0.2	10.09-0.13	14.5-6.0	High	0.321	,		
	27-60	25-40	1.45-1.60	0.2-0.6	10.08-0.15	6.1-8.4	Moderate	0.321	į		1
hF	0-6	5-18	1.30-1.50	0.6-2.0	10.14-0.18	14.5-7.3	Low	0.321	4	5	
Chili	6-39	18-27	1.30-1.55	2.0-6.0	10.09-0.16	4.5-6.5	Low	0.321	-		
			1.30-1.55		10.06-0.12		Low				1
	46-65	1-10	1.25-1.50	6.0-20	10.02-0.08	5.1-7.8	Low	0.10			
inC2							Low		4	6	
			1.45-1.65				Low				1
			1.60-1.85		0.08-0.12	14.5-6.5	Moderate				
			1.55-1.75		0.08-0.12		Moderate				1
	0.7	15 25	1 20 1 50			1		1		1	1
Clermont			1.30-1.50 1.35-1.55		10.22-0.24	4.5-5.5	Low	0.431	5	6	1
			1.45-1.65				Moderate				
Netlands areal	31-56	32-40	1.50-1.70	<0.06	10.15-0.20	4.5-7.3	Moderate	0.371		1	i
. Canas areaj	56-80	35-45	1.50-1.70	<0.06			Moderate	0.371		I	1
	1	1		1	puldry	I				I	1

The Constructed Wetlands was selected to be in the Clermont Clay area because of the advantages of reduction of infiltration of the wetlands with groundwater, eliminating the need for a liner. Clearly the highlighted permeability at the depths of the wetlands affected by groundwater is exceedingly low, namely <u>under</u> 0.06 inches per hour, making the wetlands basically isolated and reducing the complications of construction. Appropriately also, the Clermont soil tends to be rather acid, balancing the usual alkalinity of the greywater to be sent through the wetlands for remediation.

The other concern on placement is the existence of typical **Brown County limiting conditions**. For this purpose, we have the Soil and Water Features pages of the Brown County Soil and Water Conservation book, here.

("Flooding" and "w symbol < mean were not esti		ble" and term than; > means	s such as more than.	frequent Absenc	," "brie e of an	ef," "appa entry ind	arent," a dicates t	nd "perc hat the	hed" are e feature is	explained in not a con	n the text	t. The
	  Hydro-   logic  group		Flooding	ooding		High water t		Bedrock		1	I Risk of	corrosion
Soil name and map symbol		Frequency	Duration	  Months	Depth	Kind	Months	   Depth	1	Potential frost action		1
	1			L	Ft	1	1	In	I	I	1	1
Ag Algiers	C/D	Frequent	Very brief	  Dec-Jun 	  1.0-2.0 	  Apparent 	  Jan-Jun 	   >60 		  High	  High	Low.
AtC2Atlas	D	None			1.0-2.0	Perched	  Apr-Jun	   >60 		  High	  High	  Moderate 
AvA [Distribution Area] Avonburg	D	None			1.0-3.0 ar slope	Perched	Jan-Apr	>60		  High 	  High	  High.
AwB2*:	i			1	area]		season	j	I	!	1	I
Avonburg	D	None			1.0-3.0	Perched	Jan-Apr	>60		High	  High	High.
Atlas	D	None			1.0-2.0	Perched	Apr-Jun	>60		  High	  High	  Moderate
Bc Blanchester	B/D	None			+1-0.5	  Apparent	  Jan-Apr	>60	1	  High	1	I
BoD2, BoE, BoF, BrD3Bonnell	C	None			>6.0	   		>60		    Moderate	    High	  Moderate
ChF	В	None			>6.0	 		>60		Moderate	Low	  High.
CnC2	C I	None			2.5-4.0	  Perched	  Jan-Apr	>60		High	Moderate	  High.
Ct	D	None			+1-1.0	Apparent	Nov-May	>60		High	High	  High.
EaE, EaF  Eden	C	None			>6.0			20-40	  Soft	Moderate	Moderate	Low.
EkB, EkC2Elkinsville	B  1	None			>6.0			>60		High	Moderate	  High.
FdD2  Faywood	C	   None			>6.0			20-40	  Hard	Moderate	High	Moderate.

For both locations, the bedrock is more than 60 inches deep and no concern for limits.

The **Avonburg** groundwater data is favorable to dispersion of clean water into the soil with much greater ease than most Brown county soils in our area. We hypothesized that the depth of winter groundwater was in the deeper range because of the sloping area beyond the strip of woods at the edge of this location. Hence the **3.0ft depth is quite good for sanitation and conservation purposes.** 

And furthermore, the timetable for high groundwater is shorter AND comes during the months of the year when rain is less frequent and less heavy, making the ground more receptive than would be the case in the early spring.

All signs look favorable to this area for dispersing clean water, treated water to either TS4 or TS5,

which are basically body-contact safe and permitted for easy dispersing to ground and surface water.

Although the constructed wetlands are in the Clermont Clay, which has a high groundwater table from November to May, this is not inappropriate for a wetlands, natural or constructed, as long as the pumping from the collection area for TS4 or TS5 water coming from remediation in the wetlands, is not excessive which would mean a lot of (non-greywater) groundwater would be flooding the processing and upsetting the microbes. Because the permeability is so low, the influx of groundwater, being limited by both a stormwater-stonecreek for the upper levels and by the permeability itself in general, was hoped to be minimized. Two years of observation have shown that this hope is not vain. The pump generally runs about twice a day, just like in the summer when there is no drought. Drought reduces the pumping to once a day, meaning the designed-for greywater is being processed as planned for appropriate flows from the house.

So with our perfect score as an installer at OTCO in hand and with our other reams of data and calculations, we began the EXPERIMENT. Since we were both the owner and the installer, we did not require the County's documentation fee for paperwork as an 'installer' at least. Since we were inventing this version, of necessity, we proceeded. Being both designer and installer. No manufacturing required, yet.